

Non-Ergodic Nuclear Depolarization in Nano-Cavities.

E.B. Fel'dman and M.G. Rudavets

Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics,
Russian Academy of Sciences, 142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow Region,
Russia

Abstract

Recently, it has been observed that the effective dipolar interactions between nuclear spins of spin-carrying molecules of a gas in a closed nano-cavities are independent of the spacing between all spins. We derive exact time-dependent polarization for all spins in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ensemble with spatially independent effective dipolar interactions. If the initial polarization is on a single (first) spin, $P_1(0) = 1$ then the exact spin dynamics of the model is shown to exhibit a periodical short pulses of the polarization of the first spin, the effect being typical of the systems having a large number, N , of spins. If $N \gg 1$, then within the period $4\pi/g$ ($2\pi/g$) for odd (even) N -spin clusters, with g standing for spin coupling, the polarization of spin 1 switches quickly from unity to the time independent value, $1/3$, over the time interval about $(g\sqrt{N})^{-1}$, thus, almost all the time, the spin 1 spends in the time independent condition $P_1(t) = 1/3$. The period and the width of the pulses determine the volume and the form-factor of the ellipsoidal cavity. The formalism is adopted to the case of time varying nano-fluctuations of the volume of the cavitation nano-bubbles. If the volume $V(t)$ is varied by the Gaussian-in-time random noise then the envelope of the polarization peaks goes irreversibly to $1/3$. The polarization dynamics of the single spin exhibits the Gaussian (or exponential) time dependence when the correlation time of the fluctuations of the nano-volume is larger (or smaller) than the $\langle(\delta g)^2\rangle^{-1/2}$, where the $\langle(\delta g)^2\rangle$ is the variance of the $g(V(t))$ coupling. Finally, we report the exact calculations of the NMR line shape for the N -spin gaseous aggregate.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 76.20.+q

1 Introduction

The nature of ergodicity being of fundamental importance for consonant description of statistical mechanics is currently being discussed in the NMR context [1]. Spin dynamics is ergodic if the initial polarization prepared at a single (first) spin is spread over the system leading, as time proceeds, to the spatially uniform distribution of the polarization, as it is expected on the basis of a simple physical intuition. Instead, non-ergodic behavior that have recently been observed numerically in the nuclear spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ $1D$ chains under the general XYZ spin Hamiltonian [2] enters in the way that the time average polarization of the first spin turns out to be several times larger as compared to that of any other spin in the chain. This observation of nonergodicity has been extended to $1D$ chains and rings under XY Hamiltonian [3] showing analytically that the time average polarization of the first spin differs by the factor $1.5 \div 2$ from the time average polarization of all other spins in the chain. These considerations in $1D$ spin clusters address the problem of the nature of the ergodicity to different spin Hamiltonians. Motivated in studying of non-ergodic spin dynamics and due to the fact that exact solution is the lucky exception in statistical mechanics, our assumption in this paper is that spin interactions are regarded to be independent of the spacing between the spins rather than having r^{-3} dependence.

Recently, the spin Hamiltonian with space-independent spin couplings has been applied for exploring the NMR spectra of a gas of spin-caring molecules undergoing a fast thermal motion within the non-spherical cavities [4]. In that report, the authors have arrived at the space-independent effective spin couplings by motionally average the exact dipolar Hamiltonian over a uniformly distributed spins' spatial coordinates in a nanometer sized cavities. This technique is expected to have a promising application for determining the pores' shapes and sizes [5] by the NMR spectra.

With regard to the effective nuclear spin Hamiltonian with infinite range couplings it is noteworthy that this type of interactions has also been proposed in the theory of nano-electrodes [6], [7]. Infinite range dipolar nuclear interactions are induced indirectly due to the fast energy transfer between electron and nuclear spins. On the coarse grained time scale of the fast electron spin dynamics, the slow nuclear spin dynamics is governed by an effective nuclear spin Hamiltonian with an infinite range interaction coupling. Quite apart from its importance as a physical model in the NMR experiments for a many-spin aggregate in a confined volume [4], [6], [7] and

few proton molecules [8], the model with infinite range spin interactions is of a fundamental interest in its own right since this model allows to treat 3-dimensional case exactly without any reference to an $1D$ spin ordering. It represents the quantum non-equilibrium version of the exactly solvable equilibrium spin model [9], has the mapping to the BCS pairing Hamiltonian of the superconductivity [10], and has long been provided to be the test for many-body problems in high spatial dimensions, $D \gg 1$. The objective of the paper is to present the exact solution of non-ergodic dynamics with infinite range spin Hamiltonian in N -spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ensemble.

To our knowledge, the only result reported on this model is that of Waugh [11] who announced (without proof) that the time average polarization of the first spin equals exactly $\frac{N+2}{3N}$ and the polarization of any other spin is exactly $\frac{2}{3N}$ for odd numbered, N , spin cluster. To clarify the problem of spin dynamics, the present paper reports a detailed analytical theory of the average polarization both for odd and even numbered spin clusters as well as it gives the theory of spin dynamics that is entirely missing from [11]. A condensed form of this paper have been published in [12]. Brief overview of the paper is as following. In section 2, we construct the effective nuclear spin Hamiltonian of spin-carrying molecules of the perfect gas in the nano-cavity. Section 3 gives formalism required to obtain the exact time-dependent polarization. This is followed by Section 4 that discusses three issues of the polarization dynamics that amenable to the techniques of the Section 2: firstly, the non-ergodicity of the polarization dynamics of a single spin in the nano-cavity; secondly, the polarization dynamics of a single spin within a fluctuating nano-bubbles; thirdly, spectral line shape of the nuclear spin ensemble. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the conclusions of the calculations and confronts the results obtained with known analytical results for the XY Hamiltonian.

2 Effective Nuclear Spin Hamiltonian in Nano-Cavity

The purpose of this section is to construct an effective spin Hamiltonian, H_{eff} , that governs spin dynamics of spin-carrying molecules in a nano-sized cavity on the coarse grained temporary scale of the order 10 picoseconds. On these space-time scales the effective spin Hamiltonian differs from the

exact dipolar Hamiltonian, in particular, the H_{eff} has a high symmetry that permits the exact solution for the spectrum of the many-body spin Hamiltonian H_{eff} and, as a result, the exact derivation of the polarization dynamics of the gas within the nano-cavity. In this section we summarize the main ideas of the report [4], however in derivation of the effective spin Hamiltonian H_{eff} by averaging over spins' spatial coordinates, we generalize the effective spin coupling to the case of non-perfect gas in the nano-cavity.

The starting point of the derivation of the operator H_{eff} is the expression for the density matrix

$$\rho(t, \{\vec{I}_n, \vec{r}_n(t), \vec{p}_n(t)\}_{n=1}^N) = U(t)\rho(0, \{\vec{I}_n, \vec{r}_n(0), \vec{p}_n(0)\}_{n=1}^N)U^{-1}(t) \quad (1)$$

for completely specified coordinates $\{\vec{r}_n(t)\}_{n=1}^N$ and momenta $\{\vec{p}_n(t)\}_{n=1}^N$ of N spin-carrying molecules. The propagator $U(t)$ is associated with the time dependent exact dipolar Hamiltonian (in frequency units)

$$H(t) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N h_{i,j}(t), \quad h_{i,j}(t) = \gamma^2 \hbar P_2(\cos \theta_{ij}(t)) r_{ij}^{-3}(t) (\vec{I}_i \vec{I}_j - 3I_{iz}I_{jz}), \quad (2)$$

where, γ stands for the gyromagnetic ratio, $I_{n\alpha} (\alpha = x, y, z)$ specify the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operators, the $\theta_{ij}(t)$ is the instant polar angle between the vector $r_{ij}(t)$ from $r_i(t)$ to $r_j(t)$ and the external magnetic field B .

A cornerstone fact for a construction of an effective spin Hamiltonian is an essential difference between the time scale of the relaxation in the phase space $r^N - p^N$ and the time scale of the spin dynamics under the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2). Actually, for the hydrogen gas at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, the following estimations hold. The average concentration $\bar{n} \approx 2.7 \cdot 10^{19}$ molecules/cm³, the mean free path $\lambda = (\bar{n}\pi a^2)^{-1} \approx 10^{-4}$ cm for the radius of a molecule $a \approx 10^{-8}$ cm and the thermal velocity $\bar{v} \approx 10^5$ cm/s. Then, a simple order-of-magnitude calculations leads us to expect that, for the gas in the cavity of the size $\ell \approx 10$ nm, the diffusion coefficient $\mathcal{D} \approx \bar{v}\ell \approx 10^{-1}$ cm²/s, the characteristic time scale of the spatial relaxation of the gas is $t_{\text{dif}} \approx \ell^2/\mathcal{D} \approx 10^{-11}$ s and the characteristic time scale of the momenta relaxation towards the Maxwellian distribution is $t_v \approx \lambda/\bar{v} \approx 10^{-11}$ s. These time scales t_v and t_{dif} are well separated from the NMR time scale $t_{\text{nmr}} = 10^{-4} \div 10^{-3}$ s associated with the dipolar interaction in Eq. (2). The smallness of the parameter

$$\varepsilon = \frac{t_{\text{rel}}}{t_{\text{nmr}}} = 10^{-7} \ll 1, \quad \text{where} \quad t_{\text{rel}} = \max(t_v, t_{\text{dif}}) \quad (3)$$

allows for determining the average nuclear spin Hamiltonian governing the behavior of the nuclear spins over a coarse-grained time intervals Δt obeying

$$t_{\text{rel}} \ll \Delta t \ll t_{\text{nmr}}. \quad (4)$$

The averaging of the exact Hamiltonian over time Δt is performed to the zeroth order in the perturbation expansion in powers of the parameter ε yielding the average (or effective) Hamiltonian [13],

$$\overline{h_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_0^{\Delta t} h_{i,j}(r_i(t'), r_j(t')) dt', \quad (5)$$

with the corrections being of the order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$.

The decisive point of the following treatment is the replacement of the temporary integration in Eq. (5) with the integration over the spatial coordinates within the confined region. Equating the temporary averaging with the spatial averaging makes sense under the ergodic hypotheses [14]:

$$\frac{\delta t(d r^N, d p^N)}{t} = Z^{-1} e^{-E/kT} d r^N d p^N, \quad (6)$$

where the notations imply that a representative point living in the whole phase space $r^N - p^N$, while moving over the time t , $t_{\text{rel}} \ll t \ll t_{\text{nmr}}$, spends within the volume $d r^N d p^N$ only a fraction $Z^{-1} e^{-E/kT} d r^N d p^N$ of the whole time t , the E being the total energy. The relation (6) incorporates the Gibbsian stochastic level of description into the dynamical treatment of the molecular collisions. The phase space degrees of freedom are assumed to relax to their equilibrium distribution at a given temperature T .

Introduce equilibrium pair distribution function for molecules 1 and 2,

$$D_2(r_1, r_2) = \frac{\int_V d^3 r_3 \cdots \int_V d^3 r_N \exp(-U(r^N)/kT)}{\int_V d^3 r_1 \cdots \int_V d^3 r_N \exp(-U(r^N)/kT)}, \quad (7)$$

where $U(r^N)$ stands for inter-molecular electrostatic interactions, (recall that $\|U\|/\|H\| \approx 10^7$ [15]). Then, taking for granted the ergodicity (6), the evolution of spin degrees of freedom on the coarse grained time scale Δt of Eq. (4) is governed by the static (time independent) effective Hamiltonian

$$\overline{H} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N \overline{h_{i,j}}, \quad \overline{h_{i,j}} = g \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\vec{I}_i \vec{I}_j - 3 I_{iz} I_{jz}) \quad (8)$$

with spacing independent pair couplings g for any pair of spins i and j ,

$$g = \gamma^2 \hbar \int_V \int_V d^3 r_i d^3 r_j D_2(r_i, r_j) P_2(\cos \theta_{ij}) r_{ij}^{-3}. \quad (9)$$

The effective operator $\overline{H}$ involves only the (slow) spin operators, whereas the (fast) spatial coordinates of the nucleus (labeled by indices i and j) are integrated out. On the coarse grained scale Δt , any nuclear spin "feels" the field that is independent of the spatial coordinates of all other spins flying within the nano-cavity but depend on the quantum states of that spins.

The effective spin coupling g encodes an information about the shape and the size of the nano-cavity. The primary objective of the proceeding discussion is to present the expression for the coupling g of Eq. (9) for an ellipsoidal nano-cavity.

For a perfect gas within the nanocavity, the pair distribution function equals $D_2(r_i, r_j) = V^{-2}$ for spins i and j lying in the cavity $r_i, r_j \in V$, hence the averaging in Eq. (9) gives

$$g = \gamma^2 \hbar F / V, \quad F = \int_V \int_V \frac{d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2}{V} P_2(\cos \theta_{12}) r_{12}^{-3}. \quad (10)$$

In this report, use is made of the remarkable fact [4] that the volume, V , of the nano-cavity enters into the expression for the effective coupling g of Eq. (10), which itself enters into the polarization (defined below in the section 3) giving rise to the dependence of the polarization on the volume of the nano-cavity by no means as trivial as merely proportional to the volume.

The transformation of the coordinates r_1 and r_2 to the relative coordinate $r_{12} = r_1 - r_2$ and the coordinate of the center of gravity, $r = (r_1 + r_2)/2$, reduces the form-factor F in Eq. (10) to the form

$$F = \int_V d^3 r_{12} P_2(\cos \theta_{12}) r_{12}^{-3}. \quad (11)$$

It is convenient to assume that the initial point of the vector $r_{12} = r_1 - r_2$ starts at the origin of the frame of reference xyz connected with the ellipsoid, see Figure 1. In order to calculate the integral in Eq. (11) in the ellipsoid frame of reference, we define by $\theta_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$ the polar and the azimuthal angles of the vector r_{12} in the ellipsoid frame of reference xyz , respectively, and the angles $\theta_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$ will be referred to as the polar and the azimuthal angles for the vector r_{12} in the laboratory frame

of reference XYZ . By the "addition" theorem of spherical harmonics, we can write for the factor $P_2(\cos \theta_{12})$ in Eq. (11) the expression $P_2(\cos \theta_{12}) = P_2(\cos \theta_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}) = P_2(\cos \theta_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z}})P_2(\cos \theta_{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}) + \dots$ where the dots denote the terms depending on the azimuthal angles as $\exp\{im(\phi_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}} - \phi_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z}})\}$ with $m \neq 0$, hence, all these terms vanish after integration over the angle $\phi_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$. Defining shorthand $\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$, $\theta = \theta_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}_{12}}$, $\alpha = \theta_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z}}$, we get

$$F = P_2(\cos \alpha)F', \quad F' = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta P_2(\cos \theta) \int_0^{R(\theta)} r_{12}^{-1} dr_{12}. \quad (12)$$

The Eq. (12) shows that the dependence of the form-factor on the angle α is factored out. The remaining integral, F' , in Eq. (12) coincides exactly with the form-factor of the ellipsoid having the z axis along the Z axis of the laboratory frame of reference. The radius $R(\theta)$ stands for the surface of the ellipsoidal cavity. To find the integral in Eq. (12), we parameterize the ellipsoid $(z/a)^2 + (x^2 + y^2)/b^2 = 1$ with the spherical coordinates ρ and θ as follows, $\rho = b(1 - \varepsilon^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{-1/2}$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 1 - (b/a)^2$. In the spherical coordinates, the form-factor F' becomes

$$F' = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta P_2(\cos \theta) \ln \left[\frac{b/R_{\min}}{(1 - \varepsilon^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}} \right] \Big|_{R_{\min} \rightarrow 0}, \quad (13)$$

here, the auxiliary lower limit $R_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ is introduced for the convergence of the integral. The constant $R_{\min}$ vanishes from the final form-factor F' . Indeed, invoking the definition $P_2(\cos \theta) = (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)/2$ and using the integral $\int_{-1}^1 P_2(x) dx = 0$, we get rid of the dependence on $R_{\min}$ in the integral F' of Eq. (13), thus, reducing the integral F' to the form

$$F' = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta P_2(\cos \theta) \ln(1 - \varepsilon^2 \cos^2 \theta). \quad (14)$$

Straightforward integrating over θ by parts and combining the result with Eq. (12) yields the sought for form-factor

$$F = I\pi P_2(\cos \alpha), \quad I = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} + 2\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - 1\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{arctg} \varepsilon\right), & \text{for } a \geq b \\ \frac{2}{3} - 2\left(\frac{1}{|\varepsilon|^2} + 1\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{|\varepsilon|} \operatorname{arctg} |\varepsilon|\right), & \text{for } a \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

For $a \gg b$, the $\varepsilon = 1$ and $I = 2/3$. For $a = b$, the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and Eq. (15) gives $I = 0$. For $a \ll b$, the $|\varepsilon| \rightarrow \infty$ and $I = \frac{2}{3} - 2 = -4/3$. These limiting cases confirm the result reported in [4].

3 Polarization Dynamics

We regard the spin Hamiltonian $\overline{H}$ of a N -spin cluster in a uniform external magnetic field B parallel to the Z-axis of a fixed frame of reference XYZ and the spatially independent spin couplings g of Eq. (10),

$$\overline{H} = \omega \sum_{n=1}^N I_{nz} + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{m \neq n}^N \{ \zeta I_{mz} I_{nz} - I_{mx} I_{nx} - I_{my} I_{ny} \}, \quad (16)$$

here, $\omega = \gamma B$ denotes the Zeeman frequency and the ζ is an arbitrary factor.

The standard way of approaching N -spin aggregate is to find the polarization on the n -th spin at a time instant t given initial polarization on the 1-st spin

$$P_n(t) = \text{tr} \{ e^{i\overline{H}t} I_{1z} e^{-i\overline{H}t} I_{nz} \} / \text{tr} \{ I_{1z}^2 \}. \quad (17)$$

The Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) can be cast into the form (up to the constant $gN(1 - \frac{\zeta}{2})/4$)

$$\overline{H} = \omega I_z + \frac{g}{2} (\zeta + 1) I_z^2 - \frac{g}{2} I^2, \quad (18)$$

where the total spin $I = \sum_{n=1}^N I_n$ and its projection onto the z-axis reads $I_z = \sum_{n=1}^N I_{nz}$. The polarization $P_n(t)$ of Eq. (17) is unchanged if we modify the Hamiltonian $\overline{H}$ of Eq. (18) to the effective one

$$H' = -\frac{g}{2} I^2. \quad (19)$$

In addition, the equivalence of polarizations $P_n(t)$ of all spins, except the first spin, as well as the conservation with the time of the total polarization $\sum_{n=1}^N P_n(t)$ allow to concentrate the description on the first spin only,

$$P_1(\tau) = \text{tr} \{ e^{i\tau I^2} I_{1z} e^{-i\tau I^2} I_{1z} \} / \text{tr} \{ I_{1z}^2 \}, \quad (20)$$

here, the dimensionless time scale is defined according to $\tau = \frac{1}{2}gt$. A powerful tool for investigating the problem of Eq. (20) is the theory of coupling of the angular momenta [16], [17]. To this end, think of the total spin cluster as composed of two subsystems A and B . The subsystem A has only the

spin $I_1 = I_A$ and the remaining fragment B of the spin cluster has the spin I_B , with the total spin being $I = I_A + I_B$. The states of the two subsystems A and B are coupled together within the state of the whole system $A \oplus B$ through the Clebsch-Gordan (C-G) coefficients,

$$|I_A, I_B, I, m\rangle = \sum_{\substack{m_A = \pm \frac{1}{2} \\ m_B = m - m_A}} C_{I_A, m_A; I_B, m_B}^{I, m} |I_A m_A\rangle |I_B m_B\rangle, \quad (21)$$

where $I_A = \frac{1}{2}$ and $m_A = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ are the spin and its magnetic quantum numbers for the 1-st spin, respectively, and I_B and $m_B = m - m_A$ stand for spin and its magnetic quantum numbers of the fragment B . For $I_B = 0$ only $I = \frac{1}{2}$ and $m = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ are allowed. For $I_B \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the allowed I and m are as follows, $I = I_B \pm \frac{1}{2}$, $-I \leq m \leq I$. The C-G coefficients are, see e.g. [18],

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; I_B, m - \frac{1}{2}}^{I_B + \frac{1}{2}, m} &= C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; I_B, m + \frac{1}{2}}^{I_B - \frac{1}{2}, m} = [(I_B + \frac{1}{2} + m)/(2I_B + 1)]^{1/2}, \\ C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; I_B, m + \frac{1}{2}}^{I_B + \frac{1}{2}, m} &= C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; I_B, m - \frac{1}{2}}^{I_B - \frac{1}{2}, m} = [(I_B + \frac{1}{2} - m)/(2I_B + 1)]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The two pairs of independent variables (I_B, m_B) and $(I_A = \frac{1}{2}, m_A = \pm \frac{1}{2})$ will be used for determining the trace in Eq. (20) for the whole N spin system $A \oplus B$,

$$\text{tr} \{ \dots \} = \sum_{I_B = I_B^{\min}}^{N_B/2} w(I_B) \sum_{I = |I_B - \frac{1}{2}|}^{I_B + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m = -I}^I \langle I_A, I_B, I, m | \dots | I_A, I_B, I, m \rangle, \quad (23)$$

where $N_B = N - 1$ is the number of spins in the fragment B , the minimal value of I_B is $I_B^{\min} = 0$ for even N_B and $I_B^{\min} = \frac{1}{2}$ for odd N_B . The factor

$$w(I_B) = \frac{2I_B + 1}{N_B + 1} \binom{N_B + 1}{\frac{1}{2}N_B + I_B + 1} \quad (24)$$

is the number of ways of grouping N_B individual spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ into the total spin I_B . The $w(I_B)$ obeys the property [16], [17], [19]

$$\sum_{I_B \geq |m_B|}^{N_B/2} w(I_B) = \binom{N_B}{\frac{1}{2}N_B + m_B}. \quad (25)$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (25) is the number of states for each allowed eigenvalue m_B of the fragment B . In order to deal with the diagonal evolution matrices within the Eq. (20), we introduce additional basis of the

bra, $\langle I_A, I_B, I', m' |$, and the ket, $| I_A, I_B, I', m' \rangle$, vectors of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(I_A) \otimes \mathcal{H}(I_B)$ for fixed values $I_A = 1/2$ and the I_B , then make use of the completeness of the $2(2I_B + 1)$ orthonormal basis vectors belonging to the space $\mathcal{H}(I_A) \otimes \mathcal{H}(I_B)$

$$1_{\mathcal{H}(I_A) \otimes \mathcal{H}(I_B)} = \sum_{I' = |I_B - \frac{1}{2}|}^{I_B + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m' = -I}^I |I_A, I_B, I', m' \rangle \langle I_A, I_B, I', m'|, \quad (26)$$

and, finally, insert the representation of the unity of Eq. (26) in front of the right-most operator I_{1z} of Eq. (20) having the matrix elements

$$\langle I_A, I_B, I', m' | I_{1z} | I_A, I_B, I, m \rangle = \delta_{m, m'} \sum_{m_A = \pm \frac{1}{2}} m_A C_{\frac{1}{2}, m_A; I_B, m' - m_A}^{I', m'} C_{\frac{1}{2}, m_A; I_B, m - m_A}^{I, m}. \quad (27)$$

With these algebraic steps, we immediately get the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ in terms of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients as

$$P_1(\tau) = 2^{-(N_B - 1)} \sum_{I_B = I_B^{\min}}^{N_B/2} w(I_B) \sum_{\substack{|I_B - \frac{1}{2}| \leq I \leq I_B + \frac{1}{2} \\ |I_B - \frac{1}{2}| \leq I' \leq I_B + \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{-I \leq m \leq I \\ -I' \leq m' \leq I'}} \delta_{m, m'} \times \\ \times e^{i\tau\{I(I+1) - I'(I'+1)\}} \left(\sum_{m_A = \pm \frac{1}{2}} m_A C_{\frac{1}{2}, m_A; I_B, m' - m_A}^{I', m'} C_{\frac{1}{2}, m_A; I_B, m - m_A}^{I, m} \right)^2. \quad (28)$$

For the term $I_B = 0$, only the single pair $(I = \frac{1}{2}, I' = \frac{1}{2})$ is allowed in the sum Eq. (28), and for $I_B \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the four pairs of (I, I') should be distinguished in the sum Eq. (28) depending on the sign (+) or (−) in the expressions

$$(I, I') = \left(I = I_B \pm \frac{1}{2}, I' = I_B \pm \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (29)$$

Armed with the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (28), we are going to decompose it into the time-independent part $\overline{P}_1$ and the oscillating part $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$,

$$P_1(\tau) = \overline{P}_1 + P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau). \quad (30)$$

The time-independent contribution $\overline{P}_1$ to the function $P_1(\tau)$ is provided by the quantum numbers m, m' belonging to the states $I = I' = I_B \pm \frac{1}{2}$

if $I_B \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and by the quantum numbers m, m' belonging to the states $I = I' = \frac{1}{2}$ if $I_B = 0$,

$$\overline{P}_1 = 2^{-(N_B-1)} \sum_{I_B=I_B^{\min}}^{N_B/2} w(I_B) \sum_{I=|I_B-\frac{1}{2}|}^{I_B+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-I}^I \left(\sum_{m_A=\pm\frac{1}{2}} m_A (C_{\frac{1}{2}, m_A; I_B, m-m_A}^{I, m})^2 \right). \quad (31)$$

Our desire now is to sum in Eq. (31) over the indexes m and I for the fixed value of the I_B . To this end, we start with the state $I_B = 0$ that arises for even N_B (see the comments to Eq. (23)). For $I_B = 0$ only $I = \frac{1}{2}$ is allowed, and the partial polarization $\overline{P}_1(I_B)$ in Eq. (31) reads

$$\overline{P}_1(I_B = 0) = 2^{-(N_B-1)} w(0) \sum_{m=-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} m^2. \quad (32)$$

Next, we consider the contribution to the $\overline{P}_1$ of Eq. (31) from the spin $I_B \geq \frac{1}{2}$. In this situation, $I = I_B \pm \frac{1}{2}$ are allowed and invoking the C-G coefficients of Eq. (22), the contributions $\overline{P}_1(I_B)$ to the $\overline{P}_1$ of Eq. (31) can be written in a convenient form as

$$\overline{P}_1(I_B) = 2^{-N_B} w(I_B) \sum_{\mu=-I_B}^{I_B} \frac{2\mu+1}{2I_B+1}. \quad (33)$$

Combining together the $\overline{P}_1(I_B = 0)$ of Eq. (32) and the $\overline{P}_1(I_B)$ of Eq. (33) results in

$$\overline{P}_1 = 2^{-N_B} \sum_{I_B=I_B^{\min}}^{N_B/2} w(I_B) \sum_{\mu=-I_B}^{I_B} \frac{2\mu+1}{2I_B+1}. \quad (34)$$

The sum over μ in Eq. (34) yields easily

$$\sum_{\mu=-I_B}^{I_B} (2\mu+1)^2 = (2I_B+1) \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} I_B(I_B+1) \right), \quad (35)$$

and after substitution the value $w(I_B)$ of Eq. (24), we arrive at sought for result

$$\overline{P}_1 = \frac{2^{-N_B}}{N_B+1} \sum_{I_B=I_B^{\min}}^{N_B/2} \binom{N_B+1}{\frac{1}{2}N_B+I_B+1} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} I_B(I_B+1) \right). \quad (36)$$

The remaining sum over I_B in Eq. (36) depends on whether N_B is an even or odd number. If N_B is an even number, then $I_B^{\min} = 0$ and straightforward summing over I_B in $\overline{P}_1$ of Eq. (36) by exploiting the following sums of the binomial coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I_B=0}^{N_B/2} \binom{N_B+1}{\frac{1}{2}N_B + I_B + 1} &= 2^{N_B}, \\ \sum_{I_B=0}^{N_B/2} I_B(I_B+1) \binom{N_B+1}{\frac{1}{2}N_B + I_B + 1} &= N_B 2^{N_B-2} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

yields the polarization

$$\overline{P}_1 = \frac{N+2}{3N} \quad (38)$$

for an odd $N = N_B + 1$ spin cluster [11]. If N_B is an odd number, then $I_B^{\min} = \frac{1}{2}$ and some simple algebra gives the polarization

$$\overline{P}_1 = \frac{N+2 - 2^{1-N} \binom{N}{N/2}}{3N} \quad (39)$$

for an even $N = N_B + 1$ spin cluster.

When $N \gg 1$, the $\overline{P}_1$ of Eq. (39) behaves as $(N+2-2(\pi N/2)^{-1/2})/(3N)$. Eq-s. (38), (39) give sought for time-independent contributions $\overline{P}_1$ to the total polarization $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (30) for odd and even numbered spin clusters, respectively.

It remains to find the time-dependent contribution $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ to the total polarization $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (28). Among the four pairs (I, I') in Eq. (29), only the pairs (I, I') with $I \neq I'$ contribute to the time-dependent part of the function $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (28). This occurs for $I_B \geq \frac{1}{2}$ only, since otherwise, i.e. for $I_B = 0$, the allowed values $I = I' = \frac{1}{2}$ are encountered already in the time-independent polarization $\overline{P}_1$. Thus, among the four pairs (I, I') in Eq. (29) only the two pairs, i.e. $(I = I_B + \frac{1}{2}, I' = I_B - \frac{1}{2})$ and $(I = I_B - \frac{1}{2}, I' = I_B + \frac{1}{2})$ are allowed and provide complex conjugate contributions to the real-valued function $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$. It suffices to deal with the first pair, $(I = I_B + \frac{1}{2}, I' = I_B - \frac{1}{2})$. The polarization becomes

$$P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau) = 2^{-(N_B-1)} \sum_{I_B=\frac{1}{2}}^{N_B/2} w(I_B) \sum_{m=-(I_B+\frac{1}{2})}^{I_B+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m'=-(I_B-\frac{1}{2})}^{I_B-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m,m'} \times$$

$$\times 2 \cos(2\tau(I_B + \frac{1}{2})) \left(\sum_{m_A=\pm\frac{1}{2}} m_A C_{\frac{1}{2},m_A;I_B,m'-m_A}^{I_B-\frac{1}{2},m'} C_{\frac{1}{2},m_A;I_B,m-m_A}^{I_B+\frac{1}{2},m} \right)^2. \quad (40)$$

To complete the derivation of the function $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$, we use the expression for the factor $w(I_B)$ of Eq. (24), the C-G coefficients of Eq. (22), and to sum in Eq. (40) over the variables m and m' for the fixed value of I_B , yielding

$$P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau) = \frac{2^{-N_B+3}}{3(N_B+1)} \sum_{I_B=\frac{1}{2}}^{N_B/2} \binom{N_B+1}{\frac{1}{2}N_B+I_B+1} I_B(I_B+1) \cos(2\tau(I_B + \frac{1}{2})). \quad (41)$$

Finally, by gathering the expressions for the $\overline{P}_1$ in Eq-s. (38), (39) and the expression $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ in Eq. (41) we'll go over (with the substitution $k = I_B - \frac{1}{2}$ for even N and $k = I_B$ for odd N) to the total polarization on the first spin

$$P_1(\tau) = \frac{N+2-2^{1-N}\binom{N}{N/2}}{3N} + \frac{2^{4-N}}{3N} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} A_k(N) \cos(\tau(N-2k)) \quad (42)$$

for an even N -cluster, and

$$P_1(\tau) = \frac{N+2}{3N} + \frac{2^{4-N}}{3N} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} A_k(N) \cos(\tau(N-2k)) \quad (43)$$

for an odd N -cluster, with coefficient

$$A_k(N) = \left(\frac{N+1}{2} - k\right) \left(\frac{N-1}{2} - k\right) \binom{N}{k}$$

holding in both cases. The formulas (42), (43) are the central result of the paper, and they can accurately describe a variety of systems in the next section.

4 Discussion

4.1 Non-Ergodic Spin Dynamics

As Eq. (43) states, for large odd N -clusters, the time average polarization $\langle P_1(\tau) \rangle$ of the spin 1 tends to $\frac{1}{3}$, while the time average polarization

$\frac{2}{3N}$ of any other spin tends to 0, i.e. polarization of the spin 1 does not spread uniformly over the N -spin cluster. We call this behavior as non-ergodic spin dynamics to confront it with the ergodic spin dynamics providing $1/N$ polarization for all spins in the N -spin ensemble. Figure 2(A) shows the behavior of the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ for the series of odd N -clusters. The principle features of the periodic pulses of the polarization are managed by two factors: the time reversibility of the dynamics affects the exact re-entrance of the polarization to the prepared value $P_1(0) = 1$ after each period $4\pi/g$, and, the second, gives rise to the temporary interval with the time-independent polarization of the spin 1, see Appendix for details. For large N -clusters, the total period $4\pi/g$ can be partitioned into the switching time $t^{\text{sw}} = 4\pi \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{g\sqrt{N}}$ and the stopping time $t^{\text{st}} = \frac{4\pi}{g}(1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\sqrt{N}})$; recall $\tau = \frac{1}{2}gt$. By referring to the Appendix, the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ is peaked at the moments $t = 0, 2\pi/g, 4\pi/g, \dots$. The profile of the function $P_1(\tau)$ around the moment $\tau = 0$ is

$$P_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(1 - \tau^2 N) \exp(-\tau^2 N/2). \quad (44)$$

The same profile of the function $P_1(\tau)$ holds around all the moments $\tau = m\pi$, for all integer m . The interval between the successive peaks and their width are

$$T = \frac{2\pi}{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_T = 4\pi \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{g\sqrt{N}}, \quad (45)$$

respectively. In other words, for large N -clusters, $N \gg 1$, almost all the time the polarization of the spin 1 stays at the fixed value $\overline{P_1} = 1/3$. The oscillating part of the function $P_1(\tau)$ is the odd function of the time with respect to the moments $\tau = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \dots$, as it is apparent from the $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (43).

Figure 2(B) shows the profiles of the polarization for even N -spin clusters. For large even values of N , the polarization at spin 1 stays fixed over the long time interval $t^{\text{st}} = \frac{2\pi}{g}(1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\sqrt{N}})$ within each period $2\pi/g$. Unlike odd N -clusters, the profiles $P_1(\tau)$ for even N -clusters are even functions of the time with respect to the time moments $\tau = \pi, 2\pi, \dots$.

Relying on the experimental time interval T and the width of the pulses Δ_T , the two relations in Eq. (45) together with the expressions for the coupling g of Eq.-s (10), (15) give the volume and form-factor (the angle α

is assumed to be known)

$$V = \frac{4}{c} \left(\frac{T}{\Delta_T} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad f\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) P_2(\cos \alpha) = \frac{8}{c} \frac{T}{\gamma^2 \hbar \Delta_T^2}, \quad (46)$$

where $c = N/V$ denote the concentration of the molecules carrying the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$.

4.2 Polarization Dynamics in Fluctuating Nano-bubbles

The Eq-s (42), (43) can be adopted to accounting for a time dependence of the volume of the nano-cavity and, thus, providing a means to explore NMR imaging of the cavitation bubbles in water [20], blood [21], etc., alongside with the conventional high-speed photography. Dynamics of the surface of a typical bubbling behavior occurs on a millisecond time scale [20], i.e. on the same time scale which is relevant for the nuclear spin dynamics. It is legitimate therefore to question here: how does the dynamics of the nano-sized volume affect the nuclear spin dynamics? Our intention in this section is to show that the fluctuations of the nano-volume (governed either by an external inputs or by inherently thermal noise) drive the polarization to the non-ergodic value $1/3$ irreversibly so that the periodic in time pulsating of the polarization break down as the time proceeds.

The formulation of Section 3 is easily extended to the case of time varying volume V since the coupling $g(V(t))$ enters into the Hamiltonian (16) as a common factor in front of the whole operator part. The functional form of the polarization $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ of Eq. (41) which has been derived for time independent coupling g is generalized to the case of the function $g(t)$ provided that the time $\tau = gt/2$ in Eq. (41) is now replaced with a new time

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2}gt \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(t') dt'. \quad (47)$$

We will be interested in the transformation (47)

$$g(t) = \langle g \rangle + \delta g(t), \quad (48)$$

where the $\delta g(t)$ stands for the Gaussian random noise characterized by the first two moments

$$\langle \delta g(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \delta g(t_1) \delta g(t_2) \rangle = \langle (\delta g)^2 \rangle \gamma(|t_1 - t_2|), \quad (49)$$

where $\langle(\delta g)^2\rangle$ is the variance and the $\gamma(t)$ denotes the correlation function, for example, $\gamma(t) = \exp(-t/t_c)$, with t_c being the correlation time. By the comment before Eq. (47), we replace the factor $\cos\left(2\tau(I_B + \frac{1}{2})\right)$ in Eq. (41) with expression $\cos\left[(I_B + \frac{1}{2})\left(\langle g\rangle t + \int_0^t \delta g(t') dt'\right)\right]$. The Gaussian averaging of this factor over the random function $\delta g(t)$ is carried out by the formula, see e.g. [15],

$$\left\langle \exp\left(i(I_B + \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^t \delta g(t') dt'\right) \right\rangle_{\delta g} = \exp\left(-(I_B + \frac{1}{2})^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle T^2\right), \quad (50)$$

with

$$T^2 = \int_0^t (t - t') \gamma(t') dt'. \quad (51)$$

We confine our attention, first, with the polarization for even N , with $N \gg 1$, and then close the section with the final result for odd N , $N \gg 1$. Let us write the polarization of Eq. (41) with the averaging Eq. (50) as

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(t) &= \overline{P_1} + \frac{2^{-N_B+3}}{3(N_B+1)} \sum_{I_B=\frac{1}{2}}^{N_B/2} \binom{N_B+1}{\frac{1}{2}N_B + I_B + 1} I_B(I_B+1) \Phi(t), \\ \Phi(t) &= \exp\left(-\left(I_B + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle T^2\right) \cos\left(\langle g\rangle t(I_B + \frac{1}{2})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The exponent in Eq. (52) tell us that the successive peaks of time dependent part of the function $P_1(t)$, reduce to zero at $t \rightarrow \infty$, thus, only the time independent part, i.e. $\overline{P_1} = 1/3$ of Eq. (36) of the function $P_1(t)$ survives at $t \rightarrow \infty$, after the Gaussian averaging over the function $\delta g(t)$. The integral over t' in the constant T^2 of Eq. (51) can be evaluated in the two asymptotic cases, for the large and the small correlation time [15],

$$T^2 = \begin{cases} t^2/2, & \text{if } t_c^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle \gg 1 \\ t_c t, & \text{if } t_c^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle \ll 1 \end{cases}, \quad (53)$$

In order to find the function $P_1(t)$ of Eq. (52) at asymptotics $N \gg 1$, we can replace the sum in Eq. (52) with the Gaussian averaging, just as done in Eq. (A.3) of the Appendix, yielding

$$P_1(t) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{3N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \cos(\langle g\rangle tn) \left(n^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) e^{-an^2}, \quad (54)$$

where

$$a = \frac{2}{N} + \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle T^2. \quad (55)$$

Figure 3 shows the polarization dynamics of a single spin within $N = 134$ spin aggregate for $\langle(\delta g)^2\rangle/\langle g\rangle^2 = 10^{-4}$. Based upon the Appendix, the sum over n in Eq. (54) is simplified with the Poisson's summation formula. On defining the partial sums entering into Eq. (54) by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \cos(\langle g \rangle t n) e^{-an^2} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\pi^2 \left(q + \frac{\langle g \rangle t}{2\pi}\right)^2 / a\right), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \cos(\langle g \rangle t n) n^2 e^{-an^2} = -\partial S_1(t) / \partial a = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4a^{3/2}} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2}{a} \pi^2 \left(q + \frac{\langle g \rangle t}{2\pi}\right)^2\right) \exp\left(-\pi^2 \left(q + \frac{\langle g \rangle t}{2\pi}\right)^2 / a\right), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

we get

$$P_1(t) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{3N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi/2}} \left(S_2(t) - \frac{1}{4}S_1(t)\right). \quad (58)$$

To find the envelope of the successive peaks of the function $P_1(t)$ of Eq. (58), put into Eq.s (56) - (58) the time $t = 2\pi m / \langle g \rangle$, with m running over integer numbers. This gives the polarization at the discrete moments m ,

$$S_1(m) = \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} e^{-an^2} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 k^2 / a}, \quad (59)$$

$$S_2(m) = \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} n^2 e^{-an^2} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4a^{3/2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2}{a} \pi^2 k^2\right) e^{-\pi^2 k^2 / a}. \quad (60)$$

The functions $S_1(m)$ and $S_2(m)$ inherit their dependence on the "time" m through the constant a of Eq.s (55), (53)

$$a = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{N} + 2\pi^2 m^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle / \langle g \rangle^2, & \text{if } t_c^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle \gg 1 \\ \frac{2}{N} + 2\pi m t_c \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle / \langle g \rangle, & \text{if } t_c^2 \langle(\delta g)^2\rangle \ll 1 \end{cases}, \quad (61)$$

where we substitute $t = 2\pi m/\langle g \rangle$ into Eq.-s (55), (53). For $N \gg 1$ and $m \gg 1$, we drop the summand $2/N$ in Eq. (61) assuming that $a \gg 1$,

$$a \approx \begin{cases} 2\pi^2 m^2 \langle (\delta g)^2 \rangle / \langle g \rangle^2, & \text{if } t_c^2 \langle (\delta g)^2 \rangle \gg 1 \\ 2\pi m t_c \langle (\delta g)^2 \rangle / \langle g \rangle, & \text{if } t_c^2 \langle (\delta g)^2 \rangle \ll 1 \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

For $a \gg 1$, we find the sums over the k in Eq. (59) and Eq. (60) by making use, again, the Poisson's summation formula, Eq. (A.5) of the Appendix, that accelerates the convergence of the sums for $a \gg 1$. On reading Eq. (A.5) of the Appendix backward from the r.-h. side to the l.-h. side, we get

$$I(a) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 k^2 / a} = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a \ell^2} = 1 + 2e^{-a} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-4a}). \quad (63)$$

Thus, Eq.-s (56), (57) become

$$S_1(m) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} I(a) = e^{-a}, \quad (64)$$

$$S_2(m) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial I(a)}{\partial a} = e^{-a}, \quad (65)$$

so that Eq. (58) gives the polarization of the first spin

$$P_1(m) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-a} \quad (66)$$

with the a from Eq. (62). Eq. (66) implies the total polarization of the first spin

$$P_1(m) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-a}. \quad (67)$$

We conclude this section with the result for the total polarization for odd total number, N , of spins. Due to alternating peaks of the polarization $P_1(t)$ of Eq. (43), see also Figure 2A, we get

$$P_1(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-a}, & \text{for large odd } m \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-a}, & \text{for large even } m \end{cases} \quad (68)$$

Eq. (68) with the a from Eq. (62) shows that the the polarization peaks, $P_1(m)$, of spin-carrying gas has the Gaussian and the exponential time dependence for the large and the small correlation times of the fluctuations of the nano-bubbles, respectively.

4.3 NMR Line Shape

To calculate the NMR line shape exactly, we use the same effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) as described in Section 3. The NMR line shape is the Fourier transform of the free induction decay (FID), $F(t)$, of the N -spin ensemble [15]. It is the NMR line shape on the protons in hydrogenated thin silicon films that got the first experimental evidence for the validity of the effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) in the nano-cavities [4]. We are interested in the FID signal

$$F(t) = \text{tr} \left\{ e^{iHt} I_+ e^{-iHt} I_- \right\} / \text{tr} \{ I_+ I_- \}, \quad (69)$$

with $I_\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^N I_{n\alpha}$, $I_\pm = I_x \pm iI_y$, $\alpha = x, y, z$. The reason for an exact solution for the FID of Eq. (69) is that the total Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) can be expressed in terms of the 3 collective spin operators I_α , instead of $3N$ spin operators in H of Eq. (16). Due to commutation relations $[\mathbf{I}^2, I_\alpha] = 0$, rewrite Eq. (69) as

$$F(t) = \text{tr} \left\{ e^{iGtI_z^2} I_+ e^{-iGtI_z^2} I_- \right\} / \text{tr} \{ I_+ I_- \}, \quad (70)$$

with $G = 3g/2$ for dipolar interactions in the effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) with $\zeta = 2$. The Heisenberg equations of motion for operator $I_+(t) = e^{iGtI_z^2} I_+ e^{-iGtI_z^2}$ is solved exactly to $I_+(t) = \exp(iGt(2I_z - 1))I_+(0)$, $I_+(0) = I_+$. The averaging in Eq. (69) is performed in $N!/(N_\uparrow!N_\downarrow!)$ -fold degenerate basis of the states $(N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow)$ with $N_\uparrow$, $(N_\downarrow)$ spins up (down), so that $N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow = N$ and $I_z = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/2$. The averaging gives the FID

$$F(t) = \left[\cos \left(\frac{3}{2}gt \right) \right]^{N-1}. \quad (71)$$

The effect of dephasing of the proton spins within the nano-cavity due to the interactions with the protons at the surface of the nano-cavity is introduced phenomenologically as

$$F(t) = \left[\cos \left(\frac{3}{2}gt \right) \right]^{N-1} e^{-t/T_2}. \quad (72)$$

where, the time T_2 relevant for the experiments [4] is $T_2 \approx 1 \div 3$ ms. The moments of the line shape are

$$M_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega^n \Im(\omega) = \left[\frac{d^n F(t)}{d(it)^n} \right] \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (73)$$

where $\Im(\omega)$ enters through the Fourier transformation of the FID,

$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \Im(\omega) e^{i\omega t}. \quad (74)$$

The second and the fourth order moments are inferred from Eq. (71), as

$$M_2 = (N - 1) (3g/2)^2, \quad M_4 = (N - 1)(3N - 5) (3g/2)^4. \quad (75)$$

The M_2 derived in [4] by the Van-Fleck formula coincides with the M_2 of Eq. (75), as it should be. The line shape in the nano-cavity volume appears to be the volume dependent allowing for determining the volume of the nano-pores in hydrogenated silicon films [4].

5 Conclusion

We have presented the exact time-dependent description of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ dynamics with infinite range spin interactions and the initial polarization prepared on a single spin 1, i.e. $P_1(0) = 1$. Spin dynamics for odd and even numbered clusters demonstrates the periodic pulses of the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ on the spin 1. For large odd N -clusters, the polarization on the spin 1 has pulses over the time interval $t^{\text{sw}} = 4\pi \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{g\sqrt{N}}$, from $P_1(0) = 1$ to the time-independent polarization which lasts, thus, $t^{\text{st}} = \frac{4\pi}{g}(1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\sqrt{N}})$ within any period $4\pi/g$. For large even N -clusters, the switching time $t^{\text{sw}} = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{g\sqrt{N}}$, and the period equals $2\pi/g$. The stationary polarization on the spin 1 is non-ergodic, as its value tends to $1/3$ (instead of tending to the ergodic value $1/N$) as N tends to infinity. The profiles of the polarizations within the series of odd (even) large clusters are remarkably similar.

The specific polarization profile in the clusters with infinite range spin interactions is in a sharp contrast to the profiles of the polarization in 1D clusters with nearest neighbor XY Hamiltonian [3]. Two differences can be drawn from the presented results:

(1) The overall behavior of the polarization $P_1(t)$ in the system with infinite range interaction is strictly reversible, periodic with the period $4\pi/g$ for any N , whereas, on a large 1D chains, $N \gg 1$, with XY Hamiltonian the polarization $P_1(t)$ on the spin 1 moves in irregular fashion.

(2) For large N -spin clusters, $N \gg 1$, the polarization $P_1(t)$ of the spin 1 exhibits the plateau region at non-ergodic value $\overline{P_1} = 1/3$ and the pulses

of the polarization $P_1(t)$ have a short time span of about $4\pi\mathcal{O}(1)/(g\sqrt{N})$. This is in contrast to the behavior of the polarization $P_1(t)$ in $1D$ spin chains with XY Hamiltonian where polarization on the spin 1 depends on the time in irregular fashion with $t^{\text{st}} = 0$.

Finally, the paper demonstrates the sensitivity of the polarization dynamics (reversibility and ergodicity in the many-spin systems) to the radius of interaction. Incorporation into the theory of the real dipolar interactions is the most challenging task of dynamical theory and the accurate answer is not settled yet, although the general picture of the spin dynamics is known to be diffusional [22].

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to D.E. Fel'dman and S.V. Iordanskii for helpful discussions, to A.K. Khitrin for sending the report [4], to S.I. Doronin and I.I. Maximov for the help in preparing the manuscript and to the RFBR for funding under the Grant No. 01-03-33273.

Appendix. Derivation of Eq. (44)

We want to prove that the function $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ in Eq. (41) for $N \gg 1$ has the form of pulses of width

$$\Delta_T = 4\pi \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{g\sqrt{N}}$$

at equidistant time moments $\tau = 0, 2\pi, 4\pi, \dots$ so that the profile of the function $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ at the time moment $\tau = 0$ is

$$P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau) = \frac{2}{3} (1 - \tau^2 N) \exp(-\tau^2 N/2), \quad \text{for } N \gg 1 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

To prove Eq. (A.1), we introduce a new variable $n = I_B + \frac{1}{2}$ in the expression $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ of Eq. (41), so that the function $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ takes the form (recall that the total number of spins equals $N = N_B + 1$)

$$P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau) = \frac{16}{3N} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} 2^{-N} \binom{N}{\frac{1}{2}N + n} \left(n^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \cos(2\tau n). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Next, we use asymptotic formula for the binomial coefficient,

$$2^{-N} \binom{N}{\frac{1}{2}N + n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{n^2}{N/2}\right) \left(1 + n^3 \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\sqrt{N}}\right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Eq. (A.3) allows one to look at the summation in Eq. (A.2) as an averaging over the Gaussian distribution function. To simplify the calculations of Eq. (A.2) further, we apply the Poisson's identity [23]

$$\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(2\pi\epsilon\ell) e^{-a\ell^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2(k+\epsilon)^2/a}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In many circumstances, including our ones, the resulting sum over the k in the r.-h. side of Eq. (A.4) converges much faster than the original sum over the ℓ in the l.-h. side of Eq. (A.4). To apply Eq.-s (A.4) to Eq. (A.2), we expand the sum in Eq. (A.2) up to $n = \infty$ since the terms of the sum in Eq. (A.2) practically vanish for $n > N/2$ and $N \gg 1$. Thus, by the Poisson's identity of Eq. (A.4), we introduce the sum (a partial contribution to the sum of Eq. (A.2))

$$S_1(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \cos(2\tau n) e^{-n^2/(N/2)} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi N}{2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\pi^2 \left(k + \frac{\tau}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{N}{2}\right). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In order to show that the function $S_1(\tau)$ has the form of the Gaussian peaks at equidistant moments $\tau = 0, \pm\pi, \pm2\pi, \dots$ it suffices to analyze the function $S_1(\tau)$ around the point $\tau = 0$. In this case, the leading contribution to the sum in Eq. (A.5) is provided by the term $k = 0$. Denote that if we analyze the peak around $\tau = m\pi$, where m is integer, then the leading contribution to the sum $S_1(\tau)$ in Eq. (A.5) comes from the $k = -m$. Thus, in considering $N \gg 1$, we can drop all the terms in Eq. (A.5), except the leading term $k = 0$, yielding

$$S_1(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi N}{2}} e^{-\tau^2 N/2}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Analogously, we determine the partial sum

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{(N-1)/2} \cos(2\tau n) n^2 e^{-n^2/(N/2)} = \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial(2/N)} S_1(\tau) = \frac{N^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}} (1 - \tau^2 N) e^{-\tau^2 N/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

At $N \gg 1$, the function $S_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (A.6) has a negligible contribution to the function

$$P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau) = \frac{16}{3N^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi/2}} \left(S_2(\tau) - \frac{1}{4}S_1(\tau) \right)$$

in comparison with the contribution of the function $S_2(\tau)$ of Eq. (A.7), yielding the sought for result of Eq. (A.1).

In general, the function $P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau)$ for an arbitrary τ has the pulses at the moments $\tau = k\pi$ with integer k ,

$$P_1^{\text{osc}}(\tau) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \pi^2 \left(k + \frac{\tau}{\pi} \right)^2 N \right) \exp \left(-\pi^2 \left(k + \frac{\tau}{\pi} \right)^2 \frac{N}{2} \right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

References

- [1] F. S. Dzheparov, JETP **116**, 1398, (1999).
- [2] R. Brüscheiler and R.R. Ernst, Chem. Phys. Lett. **264**, 393 (1997).
- [3] E.B. Fel'dman, R. Brüscheiler and R.R. Ernst, Chem. Phys. Lett. **294**, 297 (1998) ;
E.B. Fel'dman and M.G. Rudavets, Chem. Phys. Lett. **311**, 453 (1999).
- [4] J. Baugh, A. Kleinhammes, D.Han, Q. Wang, and Y. Wu, Science **294**, 1505 (2001).
- [5] S.Inagaki, S.Guan, T. Ohsuna and O. Terasaki, Nature **416**, 304 (2002).
- [6] B.E. Kane, Nature **393**, 133 (1998).
- [7] D. Mozyrsky, V. Privman and M.L. Glasser, Phys. Rev. B, **63**, 085313 (2001)
- [8] C.S. Yannoni, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., **92**, 5237 (1970).
- [9] M. Kac, in Statistical Physics, Phase Transitions and Superfluidity, eds. M. Chrétien, E.P. Gross and S. Deser, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968) Vol 1, p. 241.
- [10] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev., **112**, 1900 (1958);
Y. Wada, F. Takano and N. Fukuda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **19**, 597 (1958);
R.W. Richardson and N. Sherman, Nucl. Phys. **52**, 221 (1964);
D.J. Thouless, in Methods and Problems of Theoretical Physics,
ed. J.E. Bowcock, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970), p. 29;
- [11] J.S. Waugh, Mol. Phys. **95**, 731 1998.
- [12] M.G. Rudavets and E.B. Fel'dman, JETP Lett. **75**, 760 (2002).
- [13] U. Haeberlen, High Resolution NMR in Solids, Selective Averaging, (Academic, New York,1976).
- [14] A.I. Khinchin, Mathematical Foundations of Statistical Mechanics, Dover Publications, New York, 1949.

- [15] A. Abragam, The principles of nuclear magnetism. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1961
- [16] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics, (Pergamon Press, New York, 1960), § 63.
- [17] A. Messiah, Quantum Mechanics, (Wiley, New York, 1964), Vol. 2, Chap. 13, § 25.
- [18] H.A. Bethe, Intermediate Quantum Mechanics, (New York, 1964) , Chap. 8.
- [19] Reference [17], Vol.2, Chap. 21, § 13.
- [20] M.P. Brenner, S. Hilgenfeldt and D.Lohse, Rev. Mod. Phys., **74**, 425 (2002);
- [21] E.A. Brujan, Europhys. Lett., **50**, 437 (2000).
- [22] W. Zhang and D.G. Cory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1324 (1998).
- [23] P.M. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.

Captions to figures.

Fig. 1 . Schematic representation of nano-pore with the molecules carrying the nuclear spins and are in a rapid thermal motion.

Fig. 2 . On the panel A, the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (43) of the first spin is varied with non-dimensional time $\tau = gt/2$ for the series of an odd total number, N , of spins. The panel B displays the polarization $P_1(\tau)$ of Eq. (42) for the series of even N .

Fig. 3 . Polarization dynamics of a single spin, $P_1(t)$, within the $N = 134$ spin aggregate when the volume of the nano-cavity fluctuates providing with relative variance for the g coupling equal to $\langle(\delta g)^2\rangle/\langle g\rangle^2 = 10^{-4}$, see Eq.-s (54), (55).





